Audit Review and Evaluation of Jemison Metals

3001 Hickory Street Gadsden, AL 35902

> Dates of Audit: 3/22/17 Date of Submittal: 5/20/17

Auditors: Rick Rowland - SR VP Quality & Engineering

1.0 Summary

This internal audit review provided an evaluation of Jemison Demsey Metals' current level of conformance to ISO 9001, against the current internal audit schedule. The audit measured how effectively the quality management system is implemented in conformance with the applicable requirements and standards (see audit areas underlined in 2.2 below). **Based upon my review, the QMS is effectively implemented.**

Audit Detail/Observations/Findings (Detail listed in table at end of report)

4-QMS - Documentation requirements – The following forms and documents were verified during the audit: GAD009 (Receiving Form Rev 1 7/11/11, DEC-BB1-CTL Rev 2 7/13/16)

<u>6-Resource Mgmt</u> – Employees appeared competent and well trained. Adequate resources (gauges, safety equipment, operating equipment, environment) were observed during the audit.

7.2 – Customer Related Processes

Customer communication/acknowledgement was verified for work order 62770 with Denise Clayton.

7.5-Production & Service Provision.

Receiving of coil on B/L C881998 from PO GAD 12440 observed on form GAD009. Coil weighed and gauge, width, weight, and grade all met the PO requirements. OFI/Observation #1 – GAD009 has a section for Rockwell (hardness). As we do not routinely test hardness at receiving, this section may not be required. GAD009 is used in DEC and GAD, so we should make sure this doesn't impact DEC when determining whether to keep this on the form.

Minor finding #1 – through conversation, it was determined that the plate inspection section of GAD009 is not used. Plate product (other than transfer from DEC) is purchased product and must be inspected during receiving just like master coil receiving. This borders on a major finding, except that during discussions it was determined that the receiver is inspecting the product and matching to the paperwork (BOL/PO etc), but he is not documenting this on the receiving form.

Work order GAD 62770 (Lozier) was observed on the Rowe line. The operator was able to show the quality policy and documented all in spec measurements (gauge, width, length, Rockwell, wave/bow/flatness and square) on DEC-BB1-CTL. Material was packaged according to all the requirements on the work order (JD6, 3 runners, square stacking, no length overhang, <1" overhang each side, 2 width and 2 length bands, corner protectors etc).

Work order 63108 (Program T20921) was observed on the Plasma. Operator was Austin McCall (8 days on the job as operator). The work order header gauge requirement and the part spec gauge requirement did not match (.187-.207 Header, .177-.197 Part Spec).

Observation #2. Material met the part spec requirement at .182. Minor Finding #2 – 1st

piece on the job was not checked. When asked, the operator performed the inspection on piece #3 of the job and found everything to be in specification.

The Rowe preventive maintenance checklist was observed. It was completed by Scott Hanks on 3/16/17.

Shipping was audited. Loading order 54223, for Henderson Stamping was observed. 8 coils were being staged. Load match was performed and global remarks for load orientation were followed.

7.6-Control of Monitoring and Test Equipment. The following gauges were verified to be calibrated in compliance with calibration records: Receiving (Tape T3029, 0-1" Mic 2070), Plasma (0-1" Mic 2061).

Observation #3 - Scale S/N 321909, in receiving, sticker showed a due date of 12/30/16, while it was actually due 2/16/17.

8.3-<u>Control of Nonconforming Product</u> – The non-conforming area was well defined and all material in this area was tagged appropriately.

Corrective/Preventive Action Follow-Up

CAs 12206, 12761, 11772, 12695 – couldn't review.

CA 12436 – reviewed and observed as OK.

CA 12101 – reviewed and observed as OK.

2.0 Overview

2.1 Personnel Interviewed (Audit evidence found in the Audit Worksheets)

John Cordell (Receiving)	Wayne Angle (Maintenance)
Chris Ellis (Rowe Operator)	Denise Clayton (Inside Sales)
Austin McCall (Plasma Operator)	Scott Hauk (Shipping)
Brian Smith (QA)	

2.2 Audited Requirements

		7 Product Realization		
4	Quality Management System	7.1	Planning of Product	
4.1	General Requirements		Realization	
4.2.	Documentation Requirements	7.2	Customer-Related Processes	
5	Management Responsibility	7.3	Design and Development	
5.1	Management Commitment		(N/A)	
5.2	Customer Focus	7.4	Purchasing	
5.3	Quality Policy	7.5	Production and Service	
5.4	Planning		<u>Provision</u>	
5.5	Responsibility, Authority and	7.6	Control of Monitoring and	
	Communication		Measuring Devices	
5.6	Management Review	8	Measurement, Analysis and	
6	Resource Management		Improvement	
6.1	Provision of Resources	8.1	General	
6.2	Human Resources	8.2	Monitoring and Measurement	
6.3	Infrastructure	8.3	Control of Nonconforming	
6.4	Work Environment		Product	
		8.4	Analysis of Data	
		8.5	Improvement	
			_	

2.3 APPROVAL/SIGN-OFF

Report Prepared by

Rick Rowland

Rick Rowland Lead Auditor/QMR Date 20 May 2017

Nonconforming Corrective Action Report (CAR) for Jemison Metals

CAR	ISO 9000:	Findings/Requirements	Observati	Mino	Major
#	2000 Clause /		on	r	
	Sub-Clause				
n/a	7.4.3 (Verification of purchased product)	OFI Observation #1- GAD009 has a section for Rockwell (hardness). As we do not routinely test hardness at receiving, this section may not be required. GAD009 is used in DEC and GAD, so we should make sure this doesn't impact DEC when determining whether to keep this on the form.	X		
1	7.4.3 (Verification of purchased product)	Minor finding #1 – through conversation, it was determined that the plate inspection section of GAD009 is not used. Plate product (other than transfer from DEC) is purchased product and must be inspected during receiving just like master coil receiving. This borders on a major finding, except that during discussions it was determined that the receiver is inspecting the product and matching to the paperwork (BOL/PO etc), but he is not documenting this on the receiving form.		X	
n/a	7.5 (Production and service provision)	Observation #2. The work order header gauge requirement and the part spec gauge requirement did not match (.187207 Header, .177197 Part Spec).	X		
2		Minor Finding #2 – 1 st piece on the job was not checked. When asked, the operator performed the inspection on piece #3 of the job and found everything to be in specification. (Note: Plasma Procedure does not callout first article inspection, but maybe it should?)		X	
n/a		Observation #3 - Scale S/N 321909, in receiving, sticker showed a due date of 12/30/16, while it was actually due 2/16/17.	X		

