Management Review Minutes

Date:	November 26, 2007	Location:	Birmingham Corporate Office	
-------	-------------------	-----------	-----------------------------	--

Attendees: <u>Pete Heinke, Brant Watts, Lou Sengebush, Dave Pratt, Randy Richards, Gary Bando, Butch Burkett, Darrell Jones, Craig Mathiason, Marion Pitts, Patrick Macias, Bill Turnock</u>

Inputs	Outputs (Comments, Attachments, Action Items)
Customer Feedback	An on-line customer survey has been initiated, with an initial solicitation
and Satisfaction	to twenty customers. Going forward, the goal will be to send about five
and Sausiaction	surveys per week. SCRRs and outside sales people should be cc'd when
	surveys are sent to their respective customers. Survey results will be
	reviewed at monthly management meetings. Craig Mathiason will be
	provided with survey access for review, as well as a list of the initial
Internal Andit regults	customers that were sent the survey. The following were charged from the Oct 07 internal auditor.
Internal Audit results	The following were observations from the Oct 07 internal audits:
	Gadsden: Final Inspections in Plant 2 incomplete; boards with quality
	info not up-to-date (Quality and Operations will discuss a quality template
	for viewing on each site's monitor).
	Cleveland: In some cases, employees did not understand how their job
	impacted the quality policy.
	Sumter: Boards with quality information were not up-to-date.
	Birmingham (corporate): Management Review Minutes should be
	available at all sites.
Process and Product	The Daily Flash was reviewed.
performance against	Change "Management reviews these objectives and communicates the
Quality Objectives	importance of meeting customer requirements each fiscal quarter," to
	"Management <i>continuously</i> reviews these objectives and communicates
	the importance of meeting customer requirements."
Supplier performance	A mechanism is in place to measure mill claims per site and per mill,
	capturing weight, COPQ, and defect types. IT is working on capturing
	gross weight per mill so that supplier performance can be meaningfully
	evaluated.
Corrective/Preventive	SalesForce is used for this purpose.
Action status	
Quality Policy	The Quality Policy was reviewed in full.
	1. Training still requires focus, and going forward will be reviewed in
	weekly staff, and monthly management, meetings.
	2. Cases will be reviewed on a weekly basis, and COPQ will be reviewed
	on a monthly basis, to include both number of incidents and dollar
	amounts per location.
	3. Change, "Top management review meetings are held at least
	quarterly" to "Top management review meetings are held
	periodically"
	4. The organizational chart requires substantial revision. Required
	changes were identified.
Follow-up from	The previous meeting's minutes were reviewed. Customer Survey
previous reviews	follow-up was discussed (see above).
Resource needs	The attendees did not identify any additional resource requirements.
Changes that effect the	Issue was discussed. The group did not identify any required changes.
Changes that effect the	resident the stock of the group and not identify any required changes.

QMS	
Recommendations	Recommendations are outlined above.

Form 5.1-1 6/1/06